

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,051	07/13/2001	Nobuhiro Igi	450100-03347	3450
20999 7	590 08/12/2004		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			HANEY, MATTHEW J	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	) .
•			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	, 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/905,051	IGI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•		2613				
The MAILING DATE of this commun.	Matthew Haney					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rly (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .					
	 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• •	,					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,10 and 11</u> is/are rejecte 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-9 and 12-18</u> is/are object 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration. ed. ed to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
· — —	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any object						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been anal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/905,051

Art Unit: 2613

4-1-

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Double Patenting**

1. Claims 1, 2, 10, and 11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,363,114.

Claims 1, 2, 10, and 11 are broader than claim 1 of Kato (US 6,363,114) and if allowed would unduly extend the timewise monopoly already given to the subject matter previously claimed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boice (US 5,978,029).

Application/Control Number: 09/905,051

Art Unit: 2613

i .

Page 3

Boice teaches of determining the coding difficulty level of an input video signal for each unit time (Note: Statistics produced for picture complexity, Column 12, Lines 8-18); determining a reference value for allocating coding bits on the basis of temporally b for the amount of coding bits b allocated for each unit time and related in advance to the coding difficulty level d of said input video signal for each unit time (Note: statistics for encoding system 1 are used as reference values for encoding system 2 so that analysis can be done, Column 12, Lines 19-26); determining an actual amount of allocated coding bits on the basis of the reference value and generating coded data by coding the input video signal for each unit time on the basis of said actual amount of allocated coding bits (Note: determine a new set of encoder parameters (i.e. amount of bits to be used), Column 12, Lines 39-47).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) even though Boice does not teach of the memory check mentioned in claim 3, it is considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to check to see if enough memory is available in order to prevent loss of data, memory overwrite, or an incomplete write function.(Official Notice)

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/905,051 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

, i. .

5. Claims \$\frac{4}{3}\$-9 and 12-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kato (US 6,167,087) teaches of an encoding apparatus with an included difficulty calculating means. Yu (US 5,828,426) teaches of a decoding apparatus that uses a reference value for allocating bits.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew Haney whose telephone number is 703-305-4915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7-4:30), Every Other Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 703-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

a , i . . .

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew Haney Examiner Art Unit 2613

mjh

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600